

**PERFORMANCE STUDY OF ROUTE REDISTRIBUTION IN
HYBRID MESH-STAR NETWORK TOPOLOGIES**

By

HASSN M. A AZOAZ



- LIBRARY -


INFRASTRUCTURE UNIVERSITY
KUALA LUMPUR

A Project Paper Submitted in Partial Fulfillment of the Requirement for the Master in
Information Technology in the Faculty of Creative Media and Innovative Technology
Infrastructure University Kuala Lumpur

2016

DECLARATION

I hereby declare that the work in this project paper is my own except for quotation summaries which have been duly acknowledged.

Signature: 

Name: HASSN M. A. AZOAZ

Matric No: 143913988

Date: 14-6-2016

ACKNOWLEDGEMENT

First and foremost, all praises are due to Allah, the Almighty, the Most Gracious and the Most Merciful, on whom ultimately we depend for sustenance, guidance and blessing.

Secondly, I would like to express my deepest gratitude and uncountable appreciation to my supervisor Dr. Mohammed Awadh Ahmed Ben Mubarak for his continuous support, stimulating suggestions, inspirational encouragement and constructive criticism throughout the course of this research work. His timely and efficient contribution and endless efforts helped me shape this study into its final form.

I would like to convey my gratitude and appreciation to my parents, brothers, sisters, children for their always accessible support. My father's calls and words of encouragement have been always triggering me to complete my study abroad. My mother's enormous love and prayers have definitely helped me towards the successful completion of this thesis. My special acknowledgement and tribute is dedicated to my lovely wife, Nayla, for the encouraging ambiance and colourful atmosphere she created in my life. Her love, spirit, moral support and great sacrifices have been always motivating me to work hard and overcome some tumultuous situations in my professional life. Last but not least, I am indebted to all my relatives, friends, and colleagues who have directly or implicitly contributed to this thesis. Thank you all, thank you very much.

APPROVAL

We have examined this manuscript and verify that it meets the programme and university requirement for the degree of Master of Information Technology in the Faculty of Creative Media and Innovative Technology.

DR. MOHAMMED AWADH BEN MUBARAK
Head of Program (BITNT & DNT)
Faculty of Creative Media and Innovative Technology
Infrastructure University Kuala Lumpur, IUKL
Tel: 603-8926 6993 ext: 683
Email: awadh@iukl.edu.my

Name of Supervisor: Dr. Mohammed Awadh Ahmed Ben Mubarak

Name of Faculty: Creative Media and Innovative Technology

IUKL

Name of Internal Examiner: Dr. Nasarudin Daud

Name of Faculty: Creative Media and Innovative Technology

IUKL



KAMALJEET KAUR
Director
Centre for Postgraduate Studies
Infrastructure University Kuala Lumpur

Kamaljeet Kaur

Director, Centre for Postgraduate Studies

IUKL

Date: 14/6/2016

ABSTRACT

Undoubtedly, route redistribution is a crucial process of IP network design and it becomes critically important in certain incidents where there is a growing demand to share packet data between various networks configured using distinct routing protocols. In this research work, different routing protocols, network topologies and route redistribution will be studied. The expected outcomes of this project are designs that cater for various hybrid mesh-star network topologies configured in different routing protocols. Several forms of route redistribution scenarios will be studied and modelled using industrial simulation software, Optimized Network Engineering tool, and OPNET Modeler. To evaluate the hybrid topology performance, various metrics have been used including convergence time, end-to-end-delay, end-to-end-delay variation and queuing delay have been used. In this thesis, single and multi-point route redistribution with different network topologies have been studied and analysed. The network topologies considered in this proposal are Gateway Routing Protocol (EIGRP), Open Shortest Path First (OSPF) and Route Information Protocol (RIP). The simulation results show that routing protocol performance is very much influenced by the type of hybrid network topology. For instance, it has been found that the convergence time of multiple point redistribution is increased by 16.4% as compared to single point redistribution. EIGRP/IGRP has the best performance in single point redistribution from analysis of convergence time whereas EIGRP/OSPF and EIGRP/RIP have the best and equal performance in single and multi-point redistribution from the analysis of end-to-end delay and end-to-end delay variation.

CONTENTS

Acknowledgment	ii
Approval	iii
Abstract	iv
Contents	v
List of Figures	ix
List of Tables	xi
CHAPTER 1: INTRODUCTION	
1.1 Introduction	1
1.2 Problem Statements	2
1.3 Objectives	2
1.4 Research Scope	2
1.5 Research Motivation	3
1.6 Research Contribution	3
1.7 Research Tools	3
1.8 Conclusion	3
CHAPTER 2: LITERATURE REVIEW	
2.1 Introduction	4
2.2 The Link State Routing protocols	5
2.3 Distance Vector Routing Protocols (DV)	5
2.4 Classless and Classful Routing Protocols	5

2.5	Route Information Protocol (RIP)	5
2.6	Open Shortest Path First (OSPF)	5
2.7	Interior Gateway Routing Protocol (IGRP)	6
2.8	Enhanced Interior Gateway Routing Protocol (EIGRP)	6
2.9	Metrics of Routing Protocols	7
2.9.1	The Queuing Delay	7
2.9.2	The End-to-End Delay	7
2.9.3	End-to-End Delay Variation	7
2.9.4	Convergence Time	8
2.10	Network Topology	8
2.10.1	Star Topology	8
2.10.2	Mesh Topology	9
2.10.3	Hybrid Topology	9
2.11	Previous Studies	10
2.12	Conclusion	10
 CHAPTER 3: RESEARCH METHODOLOGY		
3.1	Introduction	11
3.2	Building of Simulation Environment	11
3.3	Configuration and Enable Route Redistribution	12
3.4	Run the Simulation	12
3.5	Capture the Output	12
3.6	Results and Analysis	12
3.7	Conclusion	12

CHAPTER 4: DESIGN AND IMPLEMENTATION

4.1 Introduction	13
4.2 The Optimized Network Engineering Tools (OPNET)	13
4.2.1 OPNET Functions	13
4.2.2 OPNET Modeler Component Description	13
4.3 The Design	17
4.4 Configuration of Router	18
4.5 Application Attributes	18
4.6 Profile Attributes	19
4.7 Failure Attributes	20
4.8 Global Statistics	20
4.9 Implementation	22
4.9.1 Route Redistribution of Single Point	23
4.9.1.1 Single Point Route Redistribution between EIGRP and OSPF	23
4.9.1.2 Single Point Route Redistribution between EIGRP and IGRP	25
4.9.1.3 Single Point Route Redistribution between EIGRP and RIP	27
4.9.2 Route Redistribution of Multiple Point	29
4.9.2.1 Route Redistribution between OSPF and EIGRP	29
4.9.2.2 Multiple Route Redistribution between IGRP/EIGRP	30
4.9.2.3 Route Redistribution between EIGRP and Multiple Networks of RIP	31

CHAPTER 5: RESULTS AND ANALYSIS

5.1 Convergence Analysis	32
--------------------------	----

5.1.1 Convergence Time for Route Redistribution (Single Point)	32
5.1.2 Convergence Time for Route Redistribution (Multiple Point)	33
5.2 Analysis of End-To-End Delay	34
5.2.1 End-to-End Delay for Single Point Route Redistribution	34
5.2.2 End-To-End Delay for Route Redistribution (Multiple Point)	35
5.3 End-To-End Delay Variation	35
5.3.1 End-To-End Delay Variation for Route Redistribution (Single Point)	36
5.3.2 End-To-End Delay Variation for Multi Point Route Redistribution	37
5.3 Analysis of Queuing Delay	37
5.3.1 Queuing Delay for Route Redistribution (Single Point)	38
5.3.2 Queuing Delay for Route Redistribution (Multiple Point)	39
5.4 Results Analysis	40
CHAPTER 6: CONCLUSION AND FUTURE WORK	
6.1 Conclusion	45
6.2 Future Work	46
6.3 References	47

LIST OF FIGURES

Figure 2.1 Type of Routing Protocol	4
Figure 2.2 Star Topology	8
Figure 2.3 Full Mesh Topology	9
Figure 2.4 Hybrid Topology	9
Figure 3.1 The stages of The Simulation	11
Figure 4.1 The application , profile configuration and failure recovery	13
Figure 4.2 OPNET Modeler	14
Figure 4.3 The file menu of OPNET Modeler	14
Figure 4.4 Initial Topology of OPNET Modeler	15
Figure 4.5 Choose network scale	15
Figure 4.6 Select technologies	16
Figure 4.7 The Object Palette Tree	16
Figure 4.8 EIGRP/OSPF Hybrid Topology for Single Point Route Redistribution	17
Figure 4.9 The Configuration of Routing Protocol	18
Figure 4.10 Application Definition Object	18
Figure 4.11 Profile Attributes	19
Figure 4.12 Failure Attributes	20
Figure 4.13 Global Statistics	21
Figure 4.14 Global Statistics Configuration	22
Figure 4.15 Single Point of Route Redistribution (EIGRP/OSPF)	23

Figure 4.16	Configure Rout Redistribution EIGRP into OSPF	24
Figure 4.17	Forwarding Table node_5	24
Figure 4.18	Forwarding Table node_0	25
Figure 4.19	Single Point Route Redistribution between EIGRP and IGRIP	25
Figure 4.20	IGRP Metric for Rout Redistribution	26
Figure 4.21	IGRP Forwarding Table node_4	26
Figure 4.22	Single Point Route Redistribution between EIGRP and RIP	27
Figure 4.23	Configure Route Redistribution EIGRP into RIP	28
Figure 4.24	Forwarding Table of RIP node_4	28
Figure 4.25	Route Redistribution EIGRP/OSPF	29
Figure 4.26	Route Redistribution between EIGRP and IGRP	30
Figure 4.27	Route Redistribution between EIGRP and RIP	31
Figure 5.1	CT for Route Redistribution (Single Point)	32
Figure 5.2	CT for Multiple Point Route Redistribution	33
Figure 5.3	EED for Route Redistribution (Single Point)	34
Figure 5.4	End-To-End Delay for Route Redistribution (Multiple Point)	35
Figure 5.5	EEDV for Single Point Route Redistribution	36
Figure 5.6	EEDV for Route Redistribution (Multiple Point)	37
Figure 5.7	The Queuing Delay for Route Redistribution (Single Point)	38
Figure 5.8	Queuing Delay for Multiple Point Route Redistribution	39
Figure 5.9	Sample mean of CT for Single and Multiple-Point Route Redistribution	41
Figure 5.10	Sample mean of EED for Single and Multiple Point Route Redistribution	42
Figure 5.11	EEDV for Single and Multiple Point Route Redistribution	42
Figure 5.12	Queuing Delay for Single and Multi-Point Route Redistribution	43

LIST OF TABLES

Table 2.1 Routing Protocol Comparison	7
Table 3.1 Parameters of Simulation	12
Table 5.1 Sample mean for single point	40
Table 5.2 Sample mean for multi-point	40
Table 5.3 The best scenario for single point	44
Table 5.4 The best scenario for multi-point	44

CHAPTER 1
INTRODUCTION

1.1 Introduction

Nowadays, routers are commonly used to route data packets across different networks by making use of the so-called routing or forwarding table which assists these routers to make decisions and forward packets to their destination addresses. Regularly, the router makes utilization of the forwarding table to decide on the best way to send the data to its destination (Computer Networks, 2015). Routing protocols execution varies from each other with respect to their jitter and end-to-end delay (Mohammad, N. Nazrul, Ashique, 2015). For routers to broadcast across various routing domains; router industry has come out with a new paradigm rout redistribution. In fact, it is definitely simpler to deal with a system designed with a single protocol. Routing protocols of distinct standards in a group of networked routers are absolutely unpredictable and hard to oversee. Thus, there is a demanding requirement to dessiminate routing data over various boundaries of protocols, in particular, between networks that include different protocols (Le, F. Xie, G. Zhang, 2015).

6.3 References

- Bahl, V. (2015, 10 27). *Performance Issues and Evaluation considerations of web traffic for RIP & OSPF Dynamic Routing Protocols for Hybrid Networks Using OPNET*. Didapatkan dari http://www.ijarcse.com/docs/papers/9_September2012/Volume_2_issue_9/V2I900110.pdf
- Computer Networks*. (2015, 11 16). Didapatkan dari <http://vfu.bg/en/e-Learning/Computer-Networks--Networking.pdf>
- Enhanced Interior Gateway Routing Protocol*. (2015, 12 4). Didapatkan dari <http://www.routeralley.com/ra/docs/eigrp.pdf>
- Jagdeep Singh, Dr. Rajiv Mahajan. (2013). Simulation Based Comparative Study of RIP, OSPF and EIGRP.
- Kiavash, M. Nguyen , M. Elmasry, M. (2013). Analysis of RIP; OSPF and EIGRP Routing Protocols Using OPNET.
- Le, F. Xie, G. Zhang. (2015, 12 1). *Understanding Route Redistribution* . Didapatkan dari <http://www.cs.cmu.edu/~4D/papers/rr-icnp07.pdf>
- Mesh topology* . (2015, 11 10). Didapatkan dari <http://www.computerhope.com/jargon/m/mesh.htm>
- Mohammad, N. Nazrul, Ashique. (2015, 11 24). *Simulation of EIGRP over OSPF Performance Analysis*. Didapatkan dari [http://www.bth.se/com/mscee.nsf/attachments/4983_Thesis_Report_pdf/\\$file/4983_Thesis_Report.pdf](http://www.bth.se/com/mscee.nsf/attachments/4983_Thesis_Report_pdf/$file/4983_Thesis_Report.pdf)
- Mr. Rajneesh Narula, Mr. Kaxushal . (2013). Performance Analysis and Evaluation of Hybrid Network using different Integrated Routing Protocols.
- Routing Protocols and Concepts CCNA Exploration Companion Guide*. (2015, 11 2). Didapatkan dari <http://ptgmedia.pearsoncmg.com/images/9781587132063/samplepages/1587132060.pdf>
- The Practical OPNET® User Guide for Computer Network Simulation* . (2015, 12 3). Didapatkan dari <http://www.scribd.com/doc/122400880/The-Practical-OPNET-User-Guide-for-Computer-Network-Simul>
- Wijaya, C. (2011). Performance Analysis of Dynamic Routing Protocol EIGRP and OSPF in IPv4 and IPv6 Network.
- Y.Navaneeth Krishnan , Dr Shobha G. (2013). Performance Analysis of OSPF and EIGRP Routing Protocols for Greener Internetworking.

